IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

NATIONAL GRANGE MUTUAL: INSURANCE COMPANY: as Subrogee of Gary and Amanda: Kazowski:

55 West Street Keene, New Hampshire 03431

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN STANDARD, INC. One Centennial Avenue P.O. Box 6820 Piscataway, NJ 08855

Defendant.

Civil Action No.:

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

04-40227

RECEIPT # - 10 1/1/10

AMOUNT \$ ____/0 0 0

SUMMONS ISSUED ____/

LOCAL RULE 4.1 ____/

WAIVER FORM ____/

MCF ISSUED ____/

BY DPTY, CLK, XX

COMPLAINT

NOW COMES Plaintiff, National Grange Mutual Insurance Company, by and through its undersigned counsel, and hereby demands judgment against defendant, American Standard, Inc., and in support thereof avers as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, National Grange (hereinafter "National Grange") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Hampshire with a principal place of business located at 55 West Street, Keene, New Hampshire, 03431 which at all times material hereto was duly authorized to issue insurance policies in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 2. Defendant, American Standard, Inc. (hereinafter "American Standard") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with a principal place of business located at One Centennial Avenue, Piscataway, New Jersey, 08855, which at all

times material hereto was in the business of, <u>inter alia</u>, manufacturing kitchen and bath fixtures, including tub fixtures.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). The matter in controversy exceeds, exclusive of interest and costs, the sum of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00) and there is diversity of citizenship between plaintiff and defendant.
- 4. Venue in this action is in the District of Massachusetts pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 as the claim arose in this district.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- Plaintiff's insureds, Amanda Kazowski and Gary Kazowski, (hereinafter "Kazowski") are adult individuals that for all times relevant resided at 284 Brookfield Road, Charleton, Mass. 01507
- 6. Prior to February 12, 2002 National Grange issued a policy of insurance to Kazowski, policy number 51K11628, which provided property insurance coverage for the premises.
- 7. At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendant American Standard was engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing, supplying, distributing, selling and/or otherwise placing into the stream of commerce kitchen and bath fixtures, including among other things, plumbing fixtures and faucets for use, <u>inter alia</u>, by individual consumers.
- 8. Prior to February 12, 2002, a tub fixture, Williamsburg faucet Series 2900 bearing identification numbers M968319B and US60359B ("the tub fixture"), was designed, manufactured, supplied, distributed, sold and/or otherwise placed into the stream of commerce by defendant American Standard and subsequently was purchased by the Kazowskis.

- 9. On or about February 12, 2002 the valve assembly for the hot water side of the tub fixture broke as a result of defect allowing large amounts of water to flow into the Kazowski home causing extensive water damage.
 - 10. The water discharge of February 12, 2002 was caused by the defective tub fixture.
- 11. The water discharge of February 12, 2002 caused substantial damage and destruction to the real and personal property of the Kazowskis.
- 12. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the policy, National Grange made payments to the Kazowskis for the property damage in excess of One Hundred Forty Four Thousand Dollars (\$144,000.00).
- 13. Pursuant to the principles of legal and equitable subrogation, as well as the terms and conditions of the aforementioned policy of insurance National Grange is subrogated to the rights of its insured to the extent of its payments.

COUNT I

NEGLIGENCE PLAINTIFF V. DEFENDANT AMERICAN STANDARD

- 14. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth above and below as though the same were fully set forth herein at length.
- 15. The aforementioned water discharge and the subsequent loss and damage to plaintiff's insureds' property were a direct and proximate result of the negligence, gross negligence, carelessness, omissions, and/or failures to act of defendants, their employees, agents and/or servants, acting within the course and scope of their employment, both in general and in the following particulars:
 - a) Designing, manufacturing, assembling, marketing, supplying, distributing, selling and/or otherwise placing into the stream of commerce the defective tub fixture:

- b) Failing to ensure proper and sufficient materials were used in the design, manufacture, assembly of the subject fixture prior to marketing, supplying, distributing, selling and/or otherwise placing it into the stream of commerce;
- c) Designing, manufacturing, assembling, marketing, supplying, distributing, selling and/or otherwise placing into the stream of commerce an unreasonably dangerous product;
- d) Designing, manufacturing, assembling, marketing, supplying, distributing, selling and/or otherwise placing into the stream of commerce a product that the defendant knew or should have known was unreasonably dangerous;
- e) Failing to properly warn plaintiff's insured of any and all dangers and/or hazards associated with the defective faucet; and
- f) Otherwise failing to exercise reasonable care under the circumstances.

As a direct and proximate result of the negligent acts and/or omissions on the part of the defendants, the occurrence referred to above took place resulting in damage and destruction to the plaintiff's insureds' property.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff National Grange demands judgment against the Defendant in excess of \$144,000.00 together with interest and the cost of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT II

BREACH OF EXPRESS AND/OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES PLAINTIFF V. DEFENDANT AMERICAN STANDARD

- 16. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth above and below as though the same were fully set forth herein at length.
- 17. At the time the subject faucet was sold and/or supplied it was in a defective condition, which defect(s) rendered it unreasonably dangerous to persons and property. The aforementioned defect(s) directly and proximately caused National Grange's insured to suffer substantial property damage. Defendant American Standard is therefore liable in this matter.

- 18. The aforementioned water discharge and resulting property damage was directly and proximately caused by defendant's conduct in:
 - a) Designing, manufacturing, assembling, marketing, selling, distributing, supplying and/or otherwise placing into the stream of commerce an unreasonably dangerous and defective tub fixture which defendant knew or should have known subjected the property of plaintiff's insured to an unreasonable risk of harm;
 - b) failing to warn of the aforesaid defective condition; and
 - c) generally acting in a manner which subjected it to strict liability under all of the circumstances.
- 19. In designing, manufacturing, assembling, marketing, selling, distributing, supplying and/or otherwise placing into the stream of commerce the subject faucet, the defendant expressly and/or impliedly warranted that its product was of good and merchantable quality and fit for its ordinary intended and foreseeable use and/or particular purpose.
- 20. The defendant breached the aforesaid express and/or implied contract-based warranties, as well as the statutory warranty provided for by Massachusetts Uniform Commercial Code. As a direct and proximate result of the breach of the aforementioned warranties, the water discharge occurred, resulting in damage and destruction to plaintiff's insureds' property.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff National Grange demands judgment against the Defendant in excess of \$144,000.00 together with interest and the cost of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT III

CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT VIOLATION MASS. ANN. LAWS. CH 93A PLAINTIFF V. DEFENDANT AMERICAN STANDARD

21. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth above and below as though the same were fully set forth herein at length.

- At all times material hereto, defendant was engaged in trade or commerce as 22. defined in M.G.L. c. 93A, §§ 2, 9.
- 23. The defendant, by virtue of advertisements, promotional literature, and/or sales brochures, represented to the general public, and to plaintiff's insureds in particular, that the design and manufacture of the tub fixture was of a particular standard, quality or grade.
- The Kazowskis reasonably and justifiably relied on defendant's representations 24. that the design and manufacture of the tub fixture was of a particular standard, quality or grade.
- 25. The defendant violated the Consumer Protection Act in failing to provide a tub fixture of a particular design and/or manufacture standard, quality or grade as represented to and reasonably and justifiably relied upon by the general public, and plaintiff's insureds in particular.
- The defendant violated the Consumer Protection Act in representing to the 26. general public, and the Kasowskis in particular, that the tub fixture possessed design and/or manufacture characteristics that it in fact did not have.
- The defendant advertised its tub fixture with intent not to sell the tub fixture as 27. advertised in direct violation of the Consumer Protection Act.
- 28. The defendant knew or should have known of the design and/or manufacturing defects and therefore violated the Consumer Protection Act.
- 29. The defendant failed to warn the general public, and the Kasowskis in particular, of the design and/or manufacturing defects inherent in the tub fixture.
- The defendant committed an unfair, fraudulent, tortious and deceptive act and/or 30. practice in the conduct of trade or commerce within this Commonwealth.
- 31. On August 8, 2002, the plaintiff complied with the requirements of M.G.L. c. 93A, §9 by sending a formal notice and demand letter to the defendant, informing the defendant

of the defect in the tub fixture and the nature of the damages caused to plaintiff's insureds' property as result.

- 32. Plaintiff did not receive a response to its M.G.L. c. 93A demand from defendant.
- 33. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid violations of the defendant, the water discharge occurred, resulting in damage and destruction to plaintiff's insureds' property.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff National Grange demands judgment against the Defendant in excess of \$144,000.00 together with interest and the cost of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted, NATIONAL GRANGE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY As subrogee of Gary and Amanda Kazowski, By its attorneys,

Roy P. Giarrusso BBO No. 549470

Christine Kelley Tramontana BBO No. 644849

GIARRUSSO, NORTON, COOLEY

& MCGLONE, P.C.

Marina Bay

308 Victory Road

Quincy, MA 02171

(617) 770-2900

Dated: 10/28/04

OF COUNSEL:

Erick J. Kirker COZEN O'CONNOR 1900 Market Street The Atrium Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 665-2172 Philal\1979782\1

_ AMOUNT_

_ APPLYING IFP_

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

(a) PLAINTIFFS National Grange Mutual Insurance Company, as Subrogee of Gary and Amanda Kazowski				DEFENDANTS American Standard, Inc.				
do bublogee of Gary and Amanda Kazowski						L50		
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF New Hampshire (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				COUNTY OF RESIDENCE	(IN U.S. PLAINTI	IFF CASES:	w Jersev	
(c) ATTORNEYS (FRM NAME ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER) Christine Kelley Tramontana Giarrusso, Norton, Cooley & McGlone, P.C. Marina Bay, 308 Victory Road, Quincy, MA				ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)	201			
(617) 770-2900 BASIS OF JURISE)	Y TX" IN ONE BOX ONLY)	III. CIT	IZENSHIP OF PR	402	27 TIES (PLAC	E AN 'X' IN ONE BOX FO	OR PLAINT
U.S. Government Plaintiff U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Questio (U.S. Governm	n nent Not a Party)	Сів	zen of This State	PTF DEF	AND o porated <i>or</i> f Business In	ONE BOX FOR DEFEND. Principal Place This State	ANT) ' TF DE!]4 □4
Defendant	(Indicate Citize in Item III)	enship of Parties	Citi;	zen of Another State)	of E	porated <i>and</i> Business In , gn Nation	Principal Place E Another State	6 06
ORIGIN		(PLACE AN		oreign Country VE BOX ONLY)				
Original D 2 Rer Proceeding Star	moved from 3 Rem te Court App (PLACE AN "X" IN Oil	nanded from	einstated eopened	Transfer		ultidistrict tigation	Appeal Judge fi D 7 Magistra Judgme	ıte
CONTRACT		ORTS	EC	RFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPT			
o Insurance o Varine Varine Natine Negotiable instrument Recovery of Overpayment Enforcement of Judgment Medicare Act Pecovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Conter Contract Contract Product Lability REAL PROPERTY Land Condemnation Foreclosure Rent Lease & Ejectment Tots to Land Tots to Land Tots Product Uability CAUSE OF ACTION CAUSE OF ACTION 28 U.S.C. §1332	DO NOT CITE JURISDICT	PERSONAL INJUR Description Personal Injury - Med Malpractic Description Personal Injury - Product Liability Description PERSONAL PROPERT STO Cther Fraud Truth in Lending There Personal Property Darmage Property Darmage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIC Sentence Habeas Corpus Sto General Sto Chil Rights Sto Prison Condition UTE UNDER WHICH YOU AF ONAL STATUTES UNLESS C	DNS	610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Selzure of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 650 Occupational Safety/Health 650 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 740 Railway Labor Act 750 Other Labor Litigation 751 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	□ 422 Appeal 28 US □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIC □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECU □ 851 HIA (1395ff) □ 842 Biack Lung (9) □ 843 DIWC/DIWW □ 844 SSIO Title XVI □ 845 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX S □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaor Defendant) □ 871 IRS — Third SC 7609 T OF CAUSE	RITY 23) 405(g)) CUITS	OTHER STATU 400 State Reapportion 416 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 430 Commerce/ICC Rai 440 Deportation 470 Racketeer influence Corryot Organizatio 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commod Exchange 875 Customer Challeng 12 USC 3410 812 Economic Stabilizat 813 Environmental Matte 814 Energy Allocation Act 914 Energy Allocation Act 915 Freedom of Information Act 916 The Deter Under Equal Access 50 Constitutionality of State Statutes 910 Other Statutory Action	es/etc ded and ins lities/ e lon Act ins in int int int int int int
REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION ON UNDER ER.C.P. 23			DE	MAND \$		YES only if c	demanded in com 译YES	plaint: NO
RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): Jut	DGE			DOCKET NUMBE	- 		
Cktr	emoutana	SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY C	OF RECORD				
FFICE USE ONLY							·	

... JUDGE.

_ MAG. JUDGE _

Case 4:04-cv-40227-FDS Document 1 Filed 11/01/2004 Page 9 of 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.	TITLE	OF CASE	(NAME OF FIRST PARTY ON EACH SIDE ONLY) National	Grange Mutual				
			Co. v. American Standard, Inc.	5100				
2. CATEGORY IN WHICH THE CASE BELONGS BASED UPON THE NUMBERED NATURE OF SUIT CODE LISTED COVER SHEET. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(A)(1)).								
		I.	160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATUPE OF SUIT.	2:28				
		II.	195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 625, 710, 726, 730, 740, 790, 791, 820, 830, 840, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950.					
	<u>X</u>	III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891.					
		IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900.					
	_	v.	150, 152, 153.	-40227 *				
3.	TITLE	AND NUM	BER, IF ANY, OF RELATED CASES. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(E)) .				
4.	HAS A No	PRIOR AC	TION BETWEEN THE SAME PARTIES AND BASED ON THE SAMI	E CLAIM EVER BEEN FILED IN THIS COURT?				
5.	PUBLIC	INTERES	PLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONSTITUTIONALITY (ST? NO S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PA					
6.	IS THIS		QUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED BY A DISTRICT COU					
7.	COUNT	<u>Y</u>) - (SEE 1	IN THIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF THE D. LOCAL RULE 40.1(C)). YESO PDEN OR HAMPSHIRE COUNTIES)? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)	R IN THE WESTERN SECTION (BERKSHIRE,				
8.	DISTRI	CT? YES	PARTIES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE CENT SRESIDE?					
9.			ON DO THE ONLY PARTIES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RE	SIDE?				
10.	IF ANY	OF THE P	PARTIES ARE THE UNITED STATES, COMMONWEALTH OF MA U.S.A. OR THE COMMONWEALTH, DO ALL OTHER PARTIES ESTERN SECTION	ASSACHUSETTS, OR ANY GOVERNMENTAL				
(PLE	ASE TY	PE OR PRI S NAME	NT) Christine Kelley Tramontana					
ADD:	RESS	Giarrus	so, Norton, Cooley & McGlone, Marina Bay,	308 Victory Rd., Quincy, MA 02171				
	EPHONE		7-770-2900	V21/1				